REC'D 1 2 DEC 2005

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY WIPO

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

	or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTI	ON	See Form PCT/IPEA/416
10589-13-22	8 application No.	International filing date (da	n/month/sear)	Priority date (day/month/year)
				27 March 2003 (27.03.2003)
PCT/US04/0	9572	or national classification and	IPC	27 March 2003 (27:03:2003)
				21 41 60 2 01 3 183: 514/1 2
	N 61/00; C12Q 1/00; G01N	33/366, 373 AND 374 and U	5 CL; 433/4, 0, 1-2, 1.2	21, 41, 69.2, 91.3, 183; 514/1, 2
Applicant				
	APEUTICS, INC.			A STATE OF THE STA
E	Examining Authority und	er Article 3 <u>5</u> and transmitte	d to the applicant ac	
		a total of 2 sheets, inclu		t.
3. 1		panied by ANNEXES, com		
ε		ant and to the Internationa		
	this report a	e description, claims and/or and/or sheets containing re 607 of the Administrative	ctifications authoriz	we been amended and are the basis of ted by this Authority (see Rule 70.16
	sheets which	supersede earlier sheets.	but which this Auth	ority considers contain an amendment ation as filed, as indicated in item 4 of
1	 (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s))			
4.	This report contains indic	ations relating to the follow	ving items:	
		Basis of the report		
		Priority		
		Non-establishment of opiniopplicability	on with regard to no	velty, inventive step and industrial
		ack of unity of invention		
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under industrial applicability; cita	Article 35(2) with tions and explanation	h regard to novelty, inventive step or ms supporting such statement
ì	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited		
		Certain defects in the interr		
1	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the	international applic	ation
Date of su	bmission of the demand		Date of completion	n of this report
26 October	2004 (26.10.2004)		11 November 2005 ((11.11.2005)
Name and r	nailing address of the IPEA	/US	Authorized officer	
l M	fail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/US commissioner for Patents		Mark L. Shibuya	ADM/SHI'V FONNALURI
p p	O Box 1450			PHILL ST EXAMINED
A A	Jexandria, Virginia 22313-145 No. (571) 273-3201)	Telephone No. (571) 272-1600 U 1
Form PCT/II	PEA/409 (cover sheet)(Apri	1 2005)		

International application No	
PCT/US04/09572	
PC1/080409372	

_		
Bo	x No.	I Basis of the report
1.		regard to the language, this report is based on:
	\boxtimes	the international application in the language in which it was filed.
		a translation of the international application into <u>Buglish</u> , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:
		international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b))
		publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4(a))
		international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2(a) and/or 55.3(a))
	to the	egard to the elements of the interastional application, this report is based on (replacement sheats which have been furnished receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not ad to his report.
	\boxtimes	the international application as originally filed/furnished
	$\overline{\boxtimes}$	the description:
	_	pages 1-110 as originally filed/furnished
		pages* NONE received by this Authority on pages* NONE received by this Authority on received by the received b
	_	•
		the claims:
		pages 111-120 as originally filed/furnished pages* NONE as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19
		pages* NONE received by this Authority on
		pages* NONE received by this Authority on
	_	the drawings: pages 1/2-22 as originally filed/furnished pages* NONE received by this Authority on received by the recei
		a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.
3.	\boxtimes	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:
	_	the description, pages None
		the claims, Nos. Nonc
		the drawings, sheets/figs None
		the sequence listing (specify): None
		any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify): None
4.		In a tactor, a least of the second of the se
		the description, pages the claims, Nos the drawings, sheets/Figs the sequence listing (specify): any tuble(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):
*	If iten	1 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded."

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box No. I) (April 2005)

Internation	
Name .	hammen,
PCT/US04/09572	2

Box No.	III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
	stions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be ally applicable have not been examined in respect of:
	the entire international application
. 🛛	claims Nos. <u>35 and 52</u>
	because:
	the said international application, or the said claim Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (apectfs):
_	
M	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 35 and 52 are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):
multiple o	s and 52 are multiple dependent claims that depend from claims 33 and 34, which are dependent from claim 12, which is a lependent claim. Thus a multiple dependent claim (6, abin III 2) serves as a basis for claims 35 and 52, which are multiple claims. Claims 35 and 52, therefore, are improper dependent claims, (see Rule 6.4 (a)).
	the claims, or said claims Nos are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed (apecify):
\boxtimes	no international search report has been established for said claims Nos. 35 and 52
	a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the sequence listing; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit:
	famish a sequence listing on paper complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Prolliminary Examining Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.
	furnish a sequence listing in electronic form complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Preliminary Examining Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.
	pay the required late furnishing fee for the furnishing of a sequence listing in response to an invitation under Rules 13ter.1(a) or (b) and 13ter.2.
	a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the tables related to the sequence listings; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit, furnish such tables in electronic form complying with the technical requirements; provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative instructions, and such tables were not available to the international Preliminary Essmining Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in electronic form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.
	See Supplemental Box for further details

International INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY PCT/H804/09572 Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention In response to the invitation to restrict or pay additional fees the applicant has, within the applicable time limit: restricted the claims. paid additional fees. paid additional fees under protest, and, where applicable, the protest fee paid additional fees under protest but the applicable protest fee was not paid neither restricted the claims nor paid additional fees 2. This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose, according to Rule 68.1. not to invite the applicant to restrict or pay additional fees. 3. This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rules 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is: complied with. not complied with for the following reasons: This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. In order for all inventions to be examined, the appropriate additional examination fees must be paid. Group I, claim(s) 1-32 and 40-51, drawn to methods for identifying a compound that modulates animalia tRNA splicing endonuclease activity. Group II, claim(s) 33, 34, 36-39, 53, and 54, drawn to methods of preventing, treating, managing or ameliorating a proliferative disorder by administering an antiproliferative compound identified by the Group I method. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the methods of Groups I and II are distinctly different methods drawn to different method objectives. The antiproliferative compounds of Group II and derived from the Group I methods do not represent a "special" technical feature because antiproliferative compounds are known in the art. See e.g., WO 02/083933AI; WO 02/0839337AI; and WO 01/25486AI. 4. Consequently, this report has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application: the parts relating to claims Nos.

International a: PCT/US04/09572

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 3 applicability; citations and explanat	5(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial ions supporting such statement	
1. Statement		
Novelty (N)	Claims 1-32 and 40-51	YES
	Claims 33, 34,36-39, 53 and 54	NO
Inventive Step (IS)	Claims NONE	YES
	Claims 1-34, 36-51, 53, 54	NO
		YES
Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims 1-34, 36-51, 53, 54	NO
	Claims NONE	NO
2. Citations and Explanations (Rule 70.7)		
Please See Continuation Sheet	ν,	
	•	
	•	
	,	
	•	

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box No. V) (April 2005)

International application Me PCT/US04/09 19

Symm		

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

Continuation of

V. 2. Citations and Explanations:

Claims 33, 24, 36-39, 53 and 54 lack rowelty under PCT Article 33(2) as keing anticipated by US 6.446,032 B1 (SCEIMMEL).

Sohimmed discloses small molecule, (e.g., see bottom of col. 27-28), mitprediferative, (e.g., chemotherspetric agents: see col. 3), compounds for treating cancer when administrated to a hou, (e.g., human). These RNA (e.g., RNA) binding compounds comprise structure within the scope of the presently claimed invention (e.g., see col. 27-28, examples and patent claims). The ability to inhibit RNA splicing andonuclease is interestry present due to the ability of these compounds to him dRVA. In any event, the claim is not structure-limited and the PTO lacks the facilities for making comparisons between prior art compounds and the claimed prospective assay-derived compounds.

Claims 33, 34, 36-39, 53 and 54 lack novely under PCT Article 33(2) as being untelpated by WO 01/L25486 A1 (RANA). Rana discloses assay-derived RNA halbiliting (e.g., binding; see e.g., binding see), pottom of page 9-top of page 10, and claims, especially claims 1, 2, 28-30, 40-43) compounds within the scope of the presently claimed invention (e.g., claims 25-25) that are untiprofferentive for use in tracing orienterable disorders (e.g., scores; i.e., see claim 40) when administered to humans. The ability is inhibit tRNA splicing and unteleases is inherently present due to the ability of these compounds to bind RNA (e.g. RNA). In any event, the claim is associated to the compound of the claim of the ability of these compounds to bind RNA (e.g. RNA). In any event, the claim is associated to the compound of the claim of prospective associated with the compound of the claim of prospective associated with the compound of the claim of prospective associated with the compound of the claim of prospective associated with the claim of prospective and the claim of prospective and

Claims 33, 34, 36-39, 53 and 54 lack novelty under PCT Articles 33(2) as being anticipated by WO 02/08837 A1 (ALMSTEAD).

Almstand disclores assay-derived binding compounts (e.g. see pages 24-betton of page 10-11) within the scope of the presently claimed invention (e.g. see pages 21-23; claim 5) that are aniprofilerative for use in treating proliferative disorders (e.g., cancer) when a doministered to human. The ability to inhibit 180N. a beging andennoless is inherently present due to the ability of these components to bind RNA (e.g. 18NA). In any event, the claim is not structure-limited and the PTO lacks the facilities for making comparisons between prior art compensate and the claimed proposetive assays.

Claims 33, 34, 36:39, 53 and 54 lack novelty under PCT Article 39(2) as being saticipated by WO (20/88935) A1 (RANDO et al.).

Rando et al. disclose assay-derived RNA binding (e.g., tRNA) compounds which effect RNA host cell factor complexes in vive (e.g. RNA) apticing; use page 10, bottom of page 12-page 13) which compounds are within the scope of the presently claimed invention (e.g. see claim 5) that are antiprotificative for use in treating prodificative disorders (e.g., cancer) when administered to humans. The billity to highlity fixty asplicing redomnlesses is inherently present due to the politive of ballet prognants to bind RNA (e.g. (RNA), a licely mechanicses is inherently presend due to the ability of ballety compounds to bind RNA (e.g. (RNA), a licely mechanicses is inherently presend due to the ability of tablety compounds to bind RNA (e.g. (RNA), and the second results of the

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Supplemental Box) (April 2005)

And the track of

International applications No -PCT/US04/09 N/2 |

Supplemental Box

. . . .

any event, the claim is not structure-limited and the PTO lacks the facilities for making comparisons between prior art compounds and the claimed prospective assay-derived compounds.

Claims 1-34, 36-51, 53 and 54 lack an inventive stop under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over WO 01/25486 A1 (RANA), WO 02/083837 A1 (ALMSTRAD), and/or WO 02/083837 A1 (ARNDO et al.) in view of WANG et al., Nucleic Acide Research Vol. 18, No. 22, HYDB-DERIVYSCEHR et al., Chem. & Biol. Vol. 7, No. 1, and L1 et al., Science Vol. 280 (4/1999).

The presently claimed invention is directed to identifying antiproliferative compounds by screening (e.g., high throughput assays) compounds (e.g., library derived) for their ability to inhibit the endoaueleodysis of animal fRNA by inhibiting IRNA-IRNA splicing endoaueless binding, relative to a control.

Screening assays (e.g., high throughput assays) of single compounds or compound libraries for their ability to disrupt RNA (e.g., RNA) interactions (e.g., including splicing) in order to identify antiproliferative drug candidates is taught by the RANA, ALMSTEAD and/or RANDO reference with some teaching discussed above is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety.

The RANA, ALMSTEAD and/or RANDO reference methods differ from the presently claimed invention by failing to explicitly teach the application of its methods to tRNA solicing endonuclease assays that cleave tRNA and tRNA solicing endonuclease.

However, II of at leach that the RNA splicing pathway is analogous in mammals and other organisms (e.g., fings).

In this regard, WANG et al. teach an assay for endonucle-objus RNA maturation, where inactivated microscocal nuclease (reversible inhibitor) bound to malicidabeled pre-tRNA physically blocks the sites of endonuclease cleavage and prevents tRNA processing activities present in Fraction III of spinach chloroplasts, presumably by substrate occuliation or "masking", where formation of an inactive micrococcal nuclease expury membrates complex procludes witheration of the tRNA substrate by a second enzyme.

Additionally, the HYDE-DERUYSCHER et al. reference teaches that high throughput screening of "small molecule" compound libraries (e.g., phage) is ideal for screening "small molecule" enzyme inhibitors for a variety of different enzymes.

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to use RNA splicing endonuclease assays in the high throughput screening methods of RANA, ALMSTEAD and/or RANDO, because these references sportfically suggest screening small modelmest libraries for compounds which disrupt RNA, interactions, including splicing, and in light of the secondary reference teaching that RNA splicing pattiney in animals is known and analogous; and the known teaching of RNA splicing endounclesses inhibition, with the destribility of using high throughputs receiving of small molecular libraries for screening enzyme binding compounds as due, candidates.

NEW	CITATIONS			